#### ANTONIO C. FABRETTI, GIAN CARLO FRANCHINI and GIORGIO PEYRONEL

Istituto di Chimica Generale e Inorganica, University of Modena, 41100 Modena, Italy

Received April 21, 1981

In the cobalt(II), nickel(II) and copper(II) complexes of N-amino-rhodanine (L):  $CuLX_2$  (X = Cl, Br),  $ML_2X_2$  (M = Co, X = Cl, I; M = Ni, X = Cl, Br),  $ML_{25}X_2$  (M = Co, X = Br(H\_2O); M = Ni, X = I),  $ML_3(ClO_4)_2$  (M = Co, Cu),  $NiL_4(ClO_4)_2$  the ligand is bonded through the amine nitrogen atom and through the thiocarbonyl sulphur atom. Spectroscopic evidence suggests the cobalt(II) complexes have a distorted octahedral and the nickel(II) and copper(II) complexes a tetragonal coordination.

## Introduction

H<sub>2</sub>C — S  
N-amino-rhodanine O=C 
$$C=S$$
 (H<sub>2</sub>N·Rd, L) has  
N  
N  
NH<sub>2</sub>

two endocyclic (N,S) and three exocyclic (N,O,S) potentially coordinating atoms. Its cobalt(II), nickel-(II) and copper(II) complexes have been investigated in order to establish which of the five sites of the molecule are involved in the coordination.

# Experimental

N-amino-rhodanine of chemical grade (Aldrich) was used.

The complexes were prepared by adding a warm solution of the metal salt (0.5 mmol) to a warm solution of the ligand (2 mmol) dissolved: M in HX (2  $cm^3$ ) + HAc (2  $cm^3$ ) and L in HAc (10  $cm^3$ ) for the nickel halides, M in HX (1 cm<sup>3</sup>) and L in EtOH (9 cm<sup>3</sup>) for the cobalt halides, M in HX (1 cm<sup>3</sup>) and L in HAc (10 cm<sup>3</sup>) for the copper halides, M in HClO<sub>4</sub> (2 cm<sup>3</sup>) and L in EtOH (10 cm<sup>3</sup>) for the nickel, M in HClO<sub>4</sub> (1 cm<sup>3</sup>) + HAc (1 cm<sup>3</sup>) and L in HAc  $(1 \text{ cm}^3)$  + HClO<sub>4</sub>  $(1 \text{ cm}^3)$  for the cobalt, M in HClO<sub>4</sub>  $(1 \text{ cm}^3)$  + HAc  $(2 \text{ cm}^3)$  and L in HAc  $(10 \text{ cm}^3)$  for the copper perchlorate. The cobalt chloride and bromide solutions turned from blue to green-brown giving a precipitate overnight. The cobalt iodide solution turned from yellow to green and then to redbrown; by concentration to half volume and cooling the compound crystallized.

The addition of the acids to the solutions was necessary in order to obtain the precipitation of the complexes in a pure and crystalline form. An ethanol-

TABLE I. Analytical Data, Found % (Calcd. %), Colour and Molar Conductivity  $\Lambda_M$  ( $\Omega^{-1}$  mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>) in 10<sup>-3</sup> M DMF Solution of the H<sub>2</sub>N•Rd (L) Complexes.

	Colour	М	N	С	Н	$\Lambda_{M}$
CoL <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	pink	13.3(13.8)	13.1(13.1)	17.1(16.9)	2.0(1.9)	26.8
$CoL_{2.5}Br_2 \cdot H_2O$	brown	9.65(9.7)	11.3(11.5)	15.1(14.8)	1.85(2.0)	112.9
CoL <sub>2</sub> I <sub>2</sub>	brick	9.4(9.7)	9.25(9.2)	12.2(11.8)	1.35(1.3)	134.8
$CoL_3(ClO_4)_2$	coral	8.2(8.4)	11.9(12.0)	15.3(15.4)	1.8(1.7)	129.6
NiL <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	light green	13.7(13.8)	13.2(13.15)	17.35(16.9)	2.0(1.9)	63.5
NiL <sub>2</sub> Br <sub>2</sub>	light green	11.1(11.4)	10.7(10.9)	14.6(14.0)	1.55(1.6)	119.4
NiL2.5 I2	brown	8.5(8.6)	9.9(10.25)	13.3(13.2)	1.5(1.5)	150.9
$NiL_4(ClO_4)_2$	light green	6.6(6.9)	13.1(13.2)	17.6(16.95)	· 2.1(1.9)	128.4
CuLCl <sub>2</sub>	light green	21.95(22.5)	9.9(9.9)	13.5(12.75)	1.55(1.4)	25.5
CuLBr <sub>2</sub>	brown	17.3(17.1)	7.55(7.5)	10.4(9.7)	1.2(1.1)	52.3
$CuL_3(ClO_4)_2$	dark green	8.95(9.0)	11.5(11.9)	15.2(15.3)	1.75(1.7)	156.1

	ν <sub>1</sub>	ν2	ν <sub>3</sub>	L or C.T.	Av. Dq.	Av. B	β	μ <sub>eff</sub> (B.M.)
L				25640	_			
CoL <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	8510, 8890	17540	18620, 19080, 20200	25320				4.87
Av.	8700	17540	19030		957	778	0.80	
CoL <sub>2.5</sub> Br <sub>2</sub>	8330,9300	17300	18180, 18690, 20000	24390				5.10
Av.	8720	17300	18650		948	756	0.78	
CoL <sub>2</sub> I <sub>2</sub>	8300,9090	16000	16860, 17860	21880, 27030				4.72
Av.	8620	16000	17360		910	686	0.71	
$CoL_3(ClO_4)_2$	8510,9800	17760	18690, 20200, 21050	26600				4.47
Av.	9290	17760	20360		996	850	0.88	
[2.22]	${}^{3}B_{1g} \rightarrow {}^{3}E_{g}{}^{a}$	$\rightarrow {}^{3}B_{2g}$	$\rightarrow {}^{3}A_{2g} \rightarrow {}^{3}E_{g}{}^{b} \rightarrow {}^{3}T$	(P) L or C.T.				
NiL <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	8400	10740	15800 16950 2273	30 25320				2.96
$NiL_2Br_2$	8370	11560	15700 17390 2150	00 24390				2.96
$NiL_{2.5}I_2$	8300	11300	15620 1980	00 22220				2.95
$NiL_4(ClO_4)_2$	9760	10810	16830 18180 2326	50 25970				3.10
		dd	L or C.T.					
CuLCl <sub>2</sub>	13070	14080	16950 24390					1.74
CuLBr <sub>2</sub>	12500	14490	17540 25350					1.73
$CuL_3(ClO_4)_2$		14490	17060 25190					1.71

TABLE 11. Electronic Spectra and Parameters (cm<sup>-1</sup>) and Magnetic Moments (B.M.) of the H<sub>2</sub>N·Rd (L) Complexes.

TABLE III. Far IR Spectra (cm<sup>-1</sup>) of H<sub>2</sub>N•Rd(L) and its Complexes.<sup>a</sup>

	CoL <sub>2</sub>	CoL2.5	CoL <sub>2</sub>	CoL <sub>3</sub>	NiL <sub>2</sub>	NiL <sub>2</sub>	NiL <sub>2.5</sub>	NiL4	CuL	CuL	CuL <sub>3</sub>
	Cl <sub>2</sub>	Br <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> C	) 1 <sub>2</sub>	2ClO <sub>4</sub>	Cl <sub>2</sub>	Br <sub>2</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	2ClO <sub>4</sub>	Cl <sub>2</sub>	Br <sub>2</sub>	2ClO <sub>4</sub>
ν(MN) ?	493mw	501mb	494mw	511wm	504ms	506 ms	500m	504sm	512sm	512ms	502w
ν(MN)	404wm	429mw	431 mw	439wm 406ms	440ms	441 ms	442mw		456wm	444mw	460w
ν(MS)	298sm	296sm	299sm	303s 264sh	300vs	300vs	301vs 262s	305vs	302vs	319vs	3 29 vs 26 2 m
ν(MX)	267vs	198mw	168mw		277vs	239s	181 sm		335s 330s	245s 235s	
Ligand					480vw	471m	468mw	482ms	480wb	477wb	478s
and	418wm	416mw	417wm	421sm	419m	416ms	417mw	419sm	416mw	412m	419ms
other	257sh	250sm	259ms	255sm		250vs	253w	260mb	262s	264s	252vs
bands	235m				234vs	239s	238vs			235s	
	217sm	228s	227sm	226 sm	220vs			226wm			
	192wm	183m	188wm	180s	211sh	183m	181sm	185sh	188m		
	171m	168s	168mw		173m	172s	173sh	175ms	174m	170m	174s
	151w	152wm	157mw	147wm	157sm	162s			154w	152sh	
		141mw	136sh	139ms	145s		146 vs			146vs	
	131mw	132mw	130ms	133mw	134sh		133w		134ms		131m
	123mw	121 mw	122sh	124w	123sm		121sm	122w	122m		
	113sh	112wm	118ms			115wm				113sm	119ms
		101ms			107m	104sm	102w			104ms	107sh
L	481vs, 42	1sh, 416ms	, 363m, 34	6w, 284mt	o, 254ms, 2	45m, 177sr	n, 153w, 1	34ms, 122	w		

<sup>a</sup>vs = very strong; m = medium; b = broad; w = weak; sh = shoulder.

ic solution saturated with the ligand and with gaseous anhydrous HCl did not give any precipitate; by adding ethyl ether only the free ligand was obtained.

The compounds were analysed by standard methods (Table I). The complexes are soluble only in dimethylformamide (DMF); their molar conductivities were measured with a WTW conductivity bridge at 25  $^{\circ}$ C. Magnetic susceptibilities were determined by the Gouy method and corrected with the Pascal constants (Table II).

The electronic spectra (Table II) were recorded on the solids in nujol mulls on filter paper with a Shimadzu MPS-50L spectrophotometer. Infrared spectra (Table III) were recorded on the solids in KBr disks (4000–250 cm<sup>-1</sup>), in nujol mulls on polythene  $(500-60 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  and in chloroform solution (4000-1200 cm<sup>-1</sup>) with a Perkin Elmer 180 spectrophotometer. The room-temperature e.p.r. spectra were recorded on a Jeol-PE-3X spectrometer. Quartz sample tubes were employed for polycrystalline samples by using diphenyl-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH, g = 2.0036) [1] as a field marker. Raman spectra were recorded at room temperature on the solids with a Jobin Yvon Ramanor HG2S spectrometer. As most of the solids undergo decomposition under the laser beam these spectra did not give reliable information.

#### **Results and Discussion**

In all the complexes the  $\nu$ (NH) bands of the ligand at 3320(vs) and 3245(m) cm<sup>-1</sup> in CHCl<sub>3</sub> solution  $(3325(m), 3295(m), 3225(m), 3145(m) \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the solid state) undergo a frequency decrease to 3220-3245 and 3150-3200 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicating a H<sub>2</sub>N-coordination of the ligand, while the very strong  $\nu$ (CO) band at 1725 cm<sup>-1</sup> shifts to higher frequencies (1750-1770 cm<sup>-1</sup>) showing that the CO group is never involved in the coordination. The ligand band at 1050(mw) cm<sup>-1</sup> shifts to 1030-1040 cm<sup>-1</sup> while those at 972(sm) and 952(vs) cm<sup>-1</sup> disappear in the complexes being substituted by a new mediumstrong band at 890-930 cm<sup>-1</sup>. These bands may contain an important  $\nu(CS)$  contribution as the bands at 1082, 820, 785 cm<sup>-1</sup> of the CS-coordinated rhodanine in its Co(II), Ni(II) [2] and Pd(II), Pt(II) complexes [3] in which a similar  $\nu(CS)$  decrease was observed. H<sub>2</sub>N·Rd may therefore be considered as principally bonded through the H<sub>2</sub>N and CS groups in its complexes.

The cobalt halide complexes have, at room temperature, high spin magnetic moments characteristic for an octahedral coordination (4.7-5.2 B.M.) [4], the lower magnetic moment of the perchlorate complex being attributable to a more distorted sixcoordination. The nickel complexes have magnetic moments characteristic for an octahedral coordination (2.9-3.4 B.M.) [4] and those of the



Fig. 1. Electronic spectrum of the CoL<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> complex.

copper complexes, very close to the spin-only value (1.73 B.M.), exclude a tetrahedral coordination.

The electronic spectra of the solid cobalt complexes show a splitting of the  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_3$  bands due to the presence of low symmetry fields [5]. The splitting causes a band envelope due to considerable overlap. The 'average' wavenumbers of the  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_3$  bands were obtained by taking into account the relative intensities of the bands of the polyhumped maxima. The 'average'  $\nu_3$  value is very close to that of the central more intense band. The  $\nu_2$  band is, as expected, weak but well enough localized with an almost normal  $\nu_2/\nu_1$  (1.86-2.02) ratio [5].

The Dq and B parameters are given as the average of the values calculated from the  $v_3/v_1$  and  $v_3/v_2$ ratios [6]. In agreement with the rule of the average environment the Dq value of the perchlorate CoS<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub> complex, in which the  $ClO_4^-$  anion is not coordinated, is intermediate between the values given for the  $CoS_6$  (770-860 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and  $CoN_6$  (higher than 1000) chromophores [7]. The Dq values of the cobalt halide complexes decreasing in the order Cl > Br > Iindicate that the halide ions are coordinated. All the Dq values are in agreement with the spectrochemical series N > S > Cl > Br > I [5]. The high Dq values for the cobalt halide complexes with respect to those of the  $CoS_6$ ,  $CoCl_6$  (764 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and  $CoBr_6$  (649  $cm^{-1}$ ) chromophores [5] seem to indicate a predominant influence of the coordinated nitrogen atom over those of the sulphur and halide atoms, these last being weakly coordinated.

The splitting of the  $\nu_1$  band into two components indicates for the nickel complexes a tetragonal coordination. On the basis of the NSH theory [8-11] the DT/DQ ratios indicate a tetragonal distortion which is smaller for the perchlorate (DT/ DQ = 0.06) than for the halide complexes (DT/ DQ = 0.14-0.19).

The cobalt and nickel halide complexes have in DMF a rather high molar conductivity, corresponding for the bromide and iodide complexes to 1:2 electrolyte behaviour ( $\Lambda_{\rm M} = 130-170$ ) [12]. The electronic spectra of these complexes in DMF solution are completely different from those of the solids indicating a strong solvolytic effect of the coordinat-

The electronic spectra of the copper halide complexes show three bands similar to those of complexes having an elongated tetragonal coordination with  $d_{x^2-y^2}$  ground state [13] while the perchlorate shows only two d-d bands but with frequencies very close to the corresponding bands of the halides.

The room temperature e.p.r. spectra of the powdered complexes do not exhibit any hyperfine structure. They show an isotropic spectrum with  $\langle g \rangle = 2.10$  for the chloride characterized by one broad signal, an unresolved signal for the bromide and a look-alike axial type spectrum for the perchlorate complex with  $g_{\parallel} = 2.112$  and  $g_{\perp} = 2.06$  close to the values reported for some CuN<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> chromophores ( $g_{\parallel} = 2.160-2.129$  and  $g_{\perp} = 2.020-2.060$ ) [14].

In the far IR spectra the new bands observed at  $512-404 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  may contain an important contribution or be tentatively assigned to  $\nu(MN)$  modes.  $\nu(MN)$  bands are reported for the hydrazine complexes of divalent ions of the transition series in the 473-339 cm<sup>-1</sup> region [15] and for ligands involving azomethine nitrogen in the complexation at 495-430 cm<sup>-1</sup> [9-11]. Also in the H<sub>2</sub>N·Rd complexes of Zn(II), Cd(II) and Hg(II)  $\nu(MN)$  bands were observed in the same region [16].

In agreement with the  $\nu(MS)$  frequencies observed for the H<sub>2</sub>N·Rd complexes of Zn(II), Cd(II), Hg(II) (356-304 cm<sup>-1</sup>) [16] a  $\nu(MS)$  mode for the Co(II), Ni(II) and Cu(II) complexes may tentatively be assigned to the mostly strong band at 329-296 cm<sup>-1</sup>. For three complexes a second  $\nu(MS)$  mode may be assigned to the band at 264-262 cm<sup>-1</sup> which is distinct from the ligand band at 254 cm<sup>-1</sup> observed in these complexes at 252-255 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

A single  $\nu(MX)$  band indicates a *trans* coordination for the cobalt and nickel halide complexes and two  $\nu(MX)$  bands a *cis* coordination for the copper halide complexes. The  $v_{Br}/v_{Cl}$  ratios (0.74 for Co, 0.86 for Ni, 0.73 and 0.71 for Cu complexes) and  $v_1/v_{Cl}$  ratios (0.63 for Co and 0.65 for Ni complexes) have or are very close to the values accepted for complexes with similar coordination environments [17]. The  $\nu(MX)$  frequencies for the cobalt and nickel complexes are as expected lower than those reported for tetrahedral complexes [15, 18] but higher than the  $\nu(MX)_b$  frequencies in an octahedral environment [15, 19, 20]. Their rather low value is consistent with a weak character of the M-X bond. The higher  $\nu$ (CuX) values are in agreement with the low molar conductivity of the copper halide complexes.

No rocking or wagging modes of coordinated water are observed for the  $CoL_{2,5}Br_2H_2O$  complex [21].

For the perchlorate complexes the undistinct splitting of the  $\nu_3$ , the very low intensity of the  $\nu_1$  and the absence of the  $\nu_2$  band of the  $ClO_4$  group allows to exclude a coordination of the ion in these complexes.

### Acknowledgements

The IR and Raman spectra were recorded in the Centro Strumenti of the University of Modena and the e.p.r. in the Istituto di Chimica Generale e Inorganica of the University of Florence.

### References

- 1 C. G. Pierpont, L. C. Francesconi and D. N. Hendrickson, Inorg. Chem., 17, 3470 (1978).
- 2 A. C. Fabretti and G. Peyronel, Transition Met. Chem., 2, 207 (1977).
- 3 A. C. Fabretti, G. C. Franchini and G. Peyronel, Transition Met. Chem., 3, 355 (1978).
- 4 F. A. Cotton and G. Wilkinson, 'Advanced Inorganic Chemistry', Interscience Publ., New York, 1972.
- 5 A. B. P. Lever, 'Inorganic Electronic Spectroscopy', Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1968.
- 6 A. B. P. Lever, J. Chem. Education, 45, 711 (1968).
- 7 C. Preti and G. Tosi, Aust. J. Chem., 32, 989 (1979) and refs. cited therein.
- 8 J. C. Donini, B. R. Hollebone, G. London, A. B. P. Lever and J. C. Hempel, *Inorg. Chem.*, 14, 455 (1975).
- 9 V. B. Rana, D. P. Singh, P. Singh and M. P. Teotia, Transition Met. Chem., 6, 36 (1981).
- 10 M. P. Teotia, I. Singh and V. B. Rana, *Transition Met. Chem.*, 6, 60 (1981).
- 11 M. P. Teotia, J. N. Gurtu and V. B. Rana, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 42, 821 (1980).
- 12 W. J. Geary, Coord. Chem. Rev., 7, 81 (1971).
- 13 B. J. Hathaway and A. A. Tomlinson, Coord. Chem. Rev., 5, 23 (1970).
- 14 Sungh-Nak Choi and J. R. Wasson, Inorg. Chem., 14, 1964 (1975).
- 15 J. R. Ferraro, 'Low-Frequency Vibrations of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds', Plenum Press, New York 1971.
- 16 A. C. Fabretti, G. C. Franchini and G. Peyronel, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., in press.
- 17 A. M. Brodie and C. J. Wilkins, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 8, 13 (1974).
- 18 J. R. Allan, D. H. Brown and M. Lappin, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 32, 2287 (1970).
- 19 E. J. Duff, M. N. Hughes and K. J. Rutt, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 2101 (1969).
- 20 J. R. Ferraro and K. C. Davies, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 3, 685 (1969).
- 21 K. Nakamoto, 'Infrared of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds', Wiley, New York, 1970.
- 22 B. Singh, Lakshmi and U. Agarwala, *Inorg. Chem.*, 8, 2341 (1969).